1			
1	PETER C. ANDERSON United States Trustee Kenneth M. Misken (State Bar No. 349167) Assistant United States Trustee Queenie K. Ng (State Bar No. 223803) Trial Attorney OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE 411 West Fourth Street, Suite 7160 Santa Ana, CA 92701-8000 Tel: (714) 338-3403		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	Fax: (714) 338-3421 Email: Kenneth.M.Misken@usdoj.gov		
7	Email: Queenie.K.Ng@usdoj.gov		
8			
9	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SANTA ANA DIVISION		
12			
13	In re:	Case Number 8:23-bk-10571-SC	
14	THE LUCK TION DD A CTICE	Chapter 11	
15	THE LITIGATION PRACTICE GROUP, P.C.,	UNITED STATES TRUSTEE'S	
16	, ,	LIMITED OPPOSITION TO DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	
17		DESCRIBING JOINT CHAPTER 11 PLAN OF LIQUIDATIONG (DATED	
18	Debtor.	MARCH 22, 2024)	
19		DATE: May 14, 2024	
20		TIME: 1:30 p.m CTRM: 5C	
21		411 W. 4th Street Santa Ana, CA 92701	
22	TO THE HONORABLE SCOTT C	LARKSON UNITED STATES	
23	BANKRUPTCY JUDGE, DEBTOR, DEI	, and the second	
24			
25	TRUSTEE, AND ALL PARTIES IN INT	EKES1:	
26	The United States Trustee hereby files this limited opposition (the "Limite		
27	Opposition") to the Disclosure Statement	(the "Disclosure Statement") Describing Joint	
28		1	
		1	

Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation (Dated March 22, 2024. Bankr. Dkt. # 1058), because: (1) the Disclosure Statement does not disclose the amount of professional fees incurred by each professional; and (2) the exculpation clause does not have a carve out for fraud, willful misconduct, or gross negligence as required by *Blixseth v. Credit Suisse*, 961 F.3d 1074 (9th Cir. 2020). The United States Trustee does not believe that the Disclosure Statement contains "adequate information" upon which the parties in interest will be able to make an informed judgment about the Plan as required by 11 U.S.C. § 1125.

I. MINIMUM DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS

Section 1125 of the Bankruptcy Code prohibits the solicitation of acceptances or rejections of a plan from the holder of a claim or interest unless a written disclosure statement, which the court has found to contain adequate information, has first been transmitted to such holder. "Adequate information" is defined as:

"[I]nformation of a kind, and in sufficient detail, as far is reasonably practicable in light of the nature and history of the debtor and the condition of the debtor's books and records, that would enable a hypothetical reasonable investor typical of holders of claims or interests of the relevant class to make an informed judgment about the plan. . .".

11 U.S.C. §1125(a)(1).

The phrasing of this section indicates that a finding of "adequate information" will be made on a case-by-case basis. No set of guidelines, therefore, could hope to be exclusive or exhaustive. However, there has developed some consensus around a "core" set of factors to be considered in most cases. The leading case setting forth these factors is *In re Metrocraft Publishing Services, Inc.*, 30 B.R. 567 (Bankr. N.D. Ga. 1984). The

25

26

27

28

Metrocraft court found that factors relevant to evaluating the adequacy of a disclosure "may include" the following:

- (1) the events which led to the filing of a bankruptcy petition;
- (2) a description of the available assets and their value;
- (3) the anticipated future of the company;
- (4) the source of information stated in the disclosure statement;
- (5) a disclaimer;
- (6) the present condition of the debtor while in chapter 11;
- (7) the scheduled claims;
- (8) the estimated return to creditors under a chapter 7 liquidation;
- (9) the accounting method utilized to produce financial information and the name of the accountants responsible for such information;
 - (10) the future management of the debtor;
 - (11) the chapter 11 plan or a summary thereof;
 - (12) the estimated administrative expenses, including attorneys' and accountant's fees;
 - (13) the collectibility of accounts receivable;
- (14) financial information, data, valuations or projections relevant to the creditors' decision to accept or reject the Chapter 11 plan;
 - (15) information relevant to the risks posed to creditors under the plan;
- (16) the actual or projected realizable value from recovery of the preferential or otherwise voidable transfers;

- (17) litigation likely to arise in a non-bankruptcy context;
- (18) tax attributes of the debtor; and
- (19) the relationship of the debtor with affiliates.

Metrocraft, supra, 39 B.R. at 568.

II. DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The United States Trustee files this limited objection to the Disclosure Statement/Plan, as follows:

1. <u>The Disclosure Statement Should Provide the Estimated Amount of Professional Fee Claims.</u>

The Disclosure Statement, at pages 27 to 29, provides for the treatment of administrative claims, including the Professional Fee Claims. However, it does not list the estimated anticipated amount of professional fees. Specifically, it states that "[t]he administrative claim amounts set forth above simply represent the Plan Proponents' best estimate as to the identity of the Holders and the amounts of projected administrative claims in this case. The actual administrative claims may be higher or lower"

Disclosure Statement, at p. 28:27 at p. 29:1-2. However, no estimated claim amounts were disclosed in the Disclosure Statement itself.

Section 1129(a)(9) requires that all administrative and priority creditors be paid in full on the Effective Date unless they agree to a different treatment of their claims. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(9). The Disclosure Statement states that the Estate will have sufficient funds to meet the feasibility requirement because: (1) the Estate projects to hold at least

\$12.7 million in cash (as of the Effective Date); and (2) the estimated amounts of Allowed Secured Claims, Allow Administrative Claims and All Priority Claims totaled only approximately \$11.8 million. *See* Disclosure Statement, at p. 83:7-14.

Here, the Disclosure Statement specifically identified the secured and priority claim obligations. As stated above, the Disclosure Statement does not list the estimated anticipated amount of professional fees. As such, the United States Trustee is not able to verify whether the Estate will have sufficient funds to meet the feasibility requirement.¹

2. <u>The Exculpation Clause Should Be Revised to Provide a Carve-Out for Fraud, Willful Misconduct or Gross Negligence.</u>

Section III(C)(4) of the Disclosure Statement provides for exculpation and limitation of liability, stating:

To the maximum extent permitted by law, neither the Trustee, the Liquidating Trustee, the Estate, the Committee, the Post-Confirmation Oversight Committee, nor any of their employees, officers, directors, shareholders, agents, members, representatives, or the professionals employed or retained by any of them, whether or not by Court order shall have or incur liability to any person or entity for an act taken or omission made in good faith in connection with or related to the formulation and implementation of the Plan, the Disclosure Statement, or a contract, instrument, release, or other agreement or document created in connection therewith, the solicitation of acceptances for or confirmation of a Plan, or the consummation and

¹ On April 29, 2024, the Trustee and the Committee filed a Notice of First Supplement to the Disclosure Statement (the "Supplement") [Bankr. Dkt. # 1145] to provide a liquidation analysis. The Supplement projects that the post-petition professional fees and Chapter 11 Trustee fees to be \$7.7 million and \$479,149.00, respectively. Nonetheless, the United States Trustee is unclear if the \$7.7 million estimated fees covers all Professional Fee Claims listed in the Disclosure Statement (at page 28) and the Supplement does not provide a breakdown of the fees for each Professional Fee Claim listed in the Disclosure Statement.

implementation of the Plan and the transactions contemplated

2

1

3

45

67

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27 28 Disclosure Statement, at p. 15-23.

In Rlivseth v. Credit Suisse, 961 F 3d 1074 (9th Cir. 2020), the

therein

In *Blixseth v. Credit Suisse*, 961 F.3d 1074 (9th Cir. 2020), the Ninth Circuit held that a plan provision exculpating non-debtors was permissible because it did not "affect obligations relating to the claims filed by creditors and discharged through the bankruptcy proceedings." *Id.* at 1081. In doing so, the Ninth Circuit specifically noted that the exculpation clause at issue did not release parties from willful misconduct or gross negligence. *Id.*

Here, the exculpation clause at issue does not provide a carve out for fraud, willful misconduct or gross negligence. The Court should require that the exculpatory provision carve out acts which constitute fraud, willful misconduct, or gross negligence.²

WHEREFORE, the United States Trustee submits his limited objection to the approval of the Disclosure Statement unless the foregoing concerns are addressed by the Trustee,

PETER C. ANDERSON UNITED STATES TRUSTEE

Dated: May 1, 2024 /s/ Kenneth M. Misken

Kenneth M. Misken

Assistant United States Trustee

² The Disclosure Statement, at pages 55 to 56, provides for the Liquidating Trustee and Post-Confirmation Oversight Committee's exculpation, indemnification, insurance and liability limitation. There, the exculpatory provision carved out acts which constitute "bad faith, fraud, or willful misconduct." Disclosure Statement, at p. 5514-27 to p. 66:1.

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:

411 West Fourth Street, Suite 7160, Santa Ana, CA 92701

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (specify):

UNITED STATES TRUSTEE'S LIMITED OPPOSITION TO DISCLOSURE STATEMENT DESCRIBING JOINT CHAPTER 11 PLAN OF LIQUIDATIONG (DATED MARCH 22, 2024)

will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

1. <u>TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF)</u>: Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On (date) <u>May 1, 2024</u>, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST (IF APPLICABLE)

⊠ Se	rvice i	nformation	continued	on	attached	page
------	---------	------------	-----------	----	----------	------

2. <u>SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL</u>: On (*date*) <u>May 1, 2024,</u> I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge <u>will be completed</u> no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST (IF APPLICABLE)

Service information continued on attached page

3. <u>SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL</u> (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on (*date*) <u>May 1, 2024,</u> I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge <u>will be completed</u> no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST (IF APPLICABLE)

		Service information continued on attached page			
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.					
May 1, 2024	Queenie Ng	/s/ Queenie Ng			
Date	Print Name	Signature			

ADDITIONAL SERVICE INFORMATION

1.		RT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILI	
	Name Dyron Moldo	<u>Capacity</u>	Email Address
	Byron Moldo Ronald Brown	SDCO Testis Ferrestics Contant In	bmoldo@ecjlaw.com
		SDCO Tustin Executive Center, Inc.	ron@rkbrownlaw.com
	Joon Khang	Debtor's Attorney	joon@khanglaw.com
	Ira Kharasch		ikharasch@pszjlaw.com
	Olivia Scott	Azzure Capital LLC	olivia.scott3@bclplaw.com
	Olivia Scott	Hi Bar Capital LLC	olivia.scott3@bclplaw.com
	Sharon Weiss	Azzure Capital LLC	sharon.weiss@bclplaw.com
	Daniel Edelman	Carolyn Beech	dedelman@edcombs.com
	Eric Bensamochan		eric@eblawfirm.us
	Alan Craig Hochheiser	City Capital NY	ahochheiser@mauricewutscher.com
	Randall Baldwin Clark		rbc@randallbclark.com
	Nicholas Koffroth	Committee of Unsecured Creditors	nkoffroth@foxrothschild.com
	Keith Owens		kowens@foxrothschild.com
	Shawn Christianson		cmcintire@buchalter.com
	Leslie Cohen		leslie@lesliecohenlaw.com
	Jeffrey Golden		igolden@go2.law
	D Edward Hays		ehays@marshackhays.com
	David Kupetz		David.Kupetz@lockelord.com
	Christopher Langley		chris@slclawoffice.com
	Daniel Lev		daniel.lev@gmlaw.com
	Laila Masud		lmasud@marshackhays.com
	Alan Nahmias		anahmias@mbn.law
	Victoria Newmark		vnewmark@pszjlaw.com
	Ronald Richards		ron@ronaldrichards.com
	Gregory Salvato		gsalvato@salvatoboufadel.com
	Andrew Still		astill@swlaw.com
	Johnny White		JWhite@wrslawyers.com
	Richard Golubow	Debt Validation Fund II LLC	rgolubow@wghlawyers.com
	Richard Golubow	MC DVI Fund 1, LLC	rgolubow@wghlawyers.com
	Richard Golubow	MC DIV Fund 2, LLC	rgolubow@wghlawyers.com
	Garrick Hollander	Wie Div Tulia 2, LLC	ghollander@wghlawyers.com
	Christopher Celentino	Trustee's Special Counsel	christopher.celentino@dinsmore.com
	Christopher Ghio	Trustee's Special Counsel	christopher.ghio@dinsmore.com
	Yosina Lissebeck		Yosina.Lissebeck@Dinsmore.com
	Jonathan Serrano		
	Teri Pham		jonathan.serrano@dinsmore.com
	Michael Lieberman	District A. Consorbiott Di I C	tpham@epglawyers.com
		Phillip A. Greenblatt, PLLC	mlieberman@lipsonneilson.com
	Paul Shankman	United Partnerships, LLC	PShankman@fortislaw.com
	Kenneth Misken	UST	Kenneth.M.Misken@usdoj.gov
	Queenie Ng	UST	Queenie.K.Ng@usdoj.gov
	Jenny L Doling		jd@jdl.law
	Glenn Moses	ADP, Inc.	gmoses@venable.com
	Amy Ginsburg	Shannon Bellfield/Kenton Cobb	efilings@ginsburglawgroup.com
	Michael Totaro		Ocbkatty@aol.com

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

Alan Forsley	<u>alan.forsley@flpllp.com</u>
Richard Hyde	rhyde@awglaw.com
Meredith King	mking@fsl.law
Anthony Doul Dight	anthony and law not

Anthony Paul Diehl

Zev Shechtman

Morning Law Group

<u>anthony@apdlaw.net</u>

<u>Zev.Shechtman@saul.com</u>

Meredith Fahn

Mitchell Ludwig

Fundura Capital Group

fahn@sbcglobal.net

mbl@kpclegal.com

Kathleen March

Greyson Law Center PC

kmarch@bkylawfirm.com

Eric Gassman

Bradford Barnhardt

Peter Bowie

Sara Johnston

erg@gassmanlawgroup.com

bbarnhardt@marshackhays.com

peter.bowie@dinsmore.com

sara.johnston@dinsmore.com

Kelli Ann LeeKelli.lee@dinsmore.comTyler Powertyler.powell@dinsmore.com

Peter Isola Merchants Credit Corp. <u>pisola@hinshawlaw.com</u>

Razmig Izakelian OHP-CDR, LP <u>razmigizakelian@quinnemanuel.com</u>

Reina Zepeda Omni Agent Solutions rzepeda@omniagnt.com

Mark Mark Good Opportunity Fund mark@markgood.com
Israel Orozco

Mark Markus

David Orr

bklawr@bklaw.com

Adam Stein-Sapir Pioneer Funding Group info@pfllc.com

Maureen Shanhan Randall Baldwin Clark Mstotaro@aol.com

Britteny Leyva Revolv3, Inc. <u>bleyva@mayerbrown.com</u>
Brian Holman Sharp Electronics <u>b.holman@musickpeeler.com</u>
William McCormick TN Dept of Revenue <u>Bill.McCormick@ag.tn.gov</u>
William Fennell Validation Partners william.fennell@fennelllaw.com

Michael Pinkston Wells Marble and Hurst rpinkston@seyfarth.com

Kevin Rogers krogers@wellsmar.com

SEE NEF FOR CONFIRMATION OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION TO THE U.S. TRUSTEE AND ANY TRUSTEE IN THIS CASE, AND TO ANY ATTORNEYS WHO RECEIVE SERVICE BY NEF.

2. SERVED BY U.S. MAIL

Debtor:

The Litigation Practice Group P.C. 17542 17th St., Suite 100

Tustin, CA 92780

Jason Patterson Stopnitzky

52 Cupertino Circle Aliso Viejo, CA 92656

Grobstein Teeple LLP

23832 Rockfield Blvd suite 245

Lake Forest, CA 92630

Consumer Privacy Ombudsman

Lucy L. Thomson 1455 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20004

Belinda Vega

Venable LLP 2049 Century Park East, Ste. 2300 Los Angeles, CA 90067

Melina Beltran

22700 Lake Forest Dr, Apt 131 Lake Forest, CA 92630

Tracy Carrington

1512 Myrtle Dr Danville, IL 61832

Force Ten Partners LLC

5271 California Ave Ste 270 Irvine, CA 92617

Raul E De Quesada

7947 S.W. 104 St, Apt. C-101 Miami, FL 33156

Omni Agent Solutions

1120 Avenue of the Americas 4th Fl New York, NY 10036

Robert Reed Pruyn

276 S. 1000 E. Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Jaslynn Sanchez

2960 E Jackson Ave #R0s6 Anaheim, CA 92806

Jorge E Sanchez

2550 E Ward Terrace Apt 63 Anaheim, CA 92806

Peter Schneider

10900 NE 4th St Ste 2300 Bellevue, WA 98004

Mark Shuter, II

2656 Pointewood Loop Galena, OH 43021

Judith Skiba

PO Box 1016 Pascagoula, MS 39568

Jason Patterson Stopnitzky

52 Cupertino Circle Aliso Viejo, CA 92656

Kimberly Torres

1177 W Chateau Ave Anaheim, CA 92802

Melissa A Wilkes

8888 Keystone Crossing Pkwy Ste 300 Indianapolis, IN 46240

3. SERVED BY (state method for each person served):

PERSONAL DELIVERY, FACSIMILE OR EMAIL

Judge's Copy

Honorable Scott C. Clarkson – bin on the 5th Floor